

Pest Surveillance and Detection Workshop : September 10th / 11th 2013

Freedom from the Threat of Predators (possums, rats, mustelids, mice) by 2050 (2040?)

Theme 1: Eradication and Suppression

1. **Low cost detection and control** – understand across landscape –
 - Current tools limitation – why failing to deliver and how to overcome?
 - More selective tools (eg rats in presence of mice)
 - Biological detection tools should be considered
 - **Difficulty vs cost**
2. **Strategic thinking - Decision-making and defining goals / outcomes** (agriculture and biodiversity)
 - How much is enough? – eradicate or suppress
 - Priorities – better definition of goals – where, when, why, how to prioritise
 - **Trade-off – optimisation between eradication compared with detection**
 - How to reallocate resources between species
 - **Cost / long term commitment**
 - **Dealing with whole systems rather than single species – when do you have a game stopper and how do you know?**
 - **Disassembly Rules – site integrated pest management across weeds, vertebrates and invertebrates**
3. **Detection sensitivity**
 - Differences between survivors and immigrants (behaviour – therefore different detection needed / density)
 - Change in detection with density – **better techniques for low density**
 - **Spatial and temporal – population reservoirs**
 - **Encounter vs interaction**
4. **Low cost detection for monitoring vs for Absence**
 - Cost benefit analysis (rather than hi tech vs low tech)
 - **Why spend \$ on monitoring?**
 - **Sustained control index – compare index to damage thresholds**
 - Different affordabilities – border surveillance vs whole patch
5. **Trophic cascades** – consequences of single pest or sequential control
 - Where are gaps in research on this – ecological impacts of control of one species
 - Vertical integration / whole system approach
 - Ordering of control
6. **Re-invasion pathways**
 - Technical tools such as genetics, genotypes, stable isotopes
 - Human assisted dispersal
 - Epidemiological models / social research (human)
 - **Landscape features**
 - **Understanding founder populations and allee effects**
 - **Are dispersers predictable – explorers vs inventors – affects what devices you employ to detect**
7. **Role of people** in operations / politics / support

- Education and communication with the public **about large scale operations** – acceptability / political backing
- **Social science research**
- **Citizen science / reporting / interpreting information**
- **Urban surveillance tools**
- **PFNZ rollout**
- 8. Re-invasion Biology / Management (part 2)**
- Habitat changes with time after eradication (post control) – eg more birds might change stoat behaviour
- Distinguish survivors vs invaders – what makes them survive (and others die?)
- Long term selection for difficult to catch animals
- Understand pattern of re-invasion in terms of time and space and rates
- Barriers to re-invasion – buffer / overlap scales – role of natural barriers (rivers)
- **Buffers – how much do we know?**
- **Are fenced areas really just like islands?**
- Creating effective barriers between treated and untreated areas in rolling front eradications
- Sources and sinks - rates crucial in determining control frequency.
- 9. Control levels / targets** – eg. link between possum TCI and desired outcomes
- Ok for possum – not good for other pests – operational limitation at present
- Needed to help prioritisation and strategic choice (eradication vs suppression)
- Detection devices for outcomes – eg audio recorders for bird-song
- Develop strategic decision support framework (Paul Meek – decision matrix – DNA / detection measures / where its feasible to use) - strategic approach to how you tackle these issues. Strategy rather than tools – trying to decide what you are going to do. (see also 2.)
- 10. Determining why some survive and others don't**

From the flip-charts – other ideas / expressions added in green (hopefully in the right places)

Theme 2: Detection Theory

1. Better empirical estimates of sensitivity and specificity

- Leads to being able to estimate cost effectiveness – use of multiple g0 and 0 for different devices
- Variability between species, density, habitats and seasons – worth doing?
- Dogs
- Level of interaction required, compared with population density
- Identify which sources of variation are most important
- **Detection of impact rather than pest**

2. Link between empirical data and theory.

- Need for collection of empirical data to drive the models – use existing operations / data to provide feedback
- validation of predictions in multiple control operations
- updating theory from data – an on-going activity
- models for possums good – but need modelling for more species using empirical data

- models not being adopted in operations
- 3. Standard protocols for multiple species and devices “ready to use”**
- Provision of information to non-specialists – eg rules of how to deploy / standard protocols
- Optimising where you put detection devices
- Search strategies, mapping prior probabilities, habitat suitability probabilities
- 4. Stratifying effort**
- Understanding sources (sustained by breeding) and sinks (sustained by immigration) – identify sources for target
- What is the purpose of surveillance – can you do detection and control all in one?
- Stratifying search effort (linked to pathway analysis) - optimising using multiple methods
- 5. Improving encounter and interaction rates using technology**
- Rate of new detections / actually detect undetectable / important for cost-benefit
- Zone of detection – increase- attract and hold
- Stop false positives with more specific devices, monoclonal antibodies?
- 6. Species that hide**
- Active vs passive sensors
- 7. Calibration of detection probabilities for different devices**
- importance of multiple detection systems / streams of info
- Trade-off between fine-scale detection and cost (bio-economics)
- 8. Proving presence vs absence**
- How many and extent of population / avoidance and non-detection

Theme 3: High Tech Detection Technologies

- 1. Defining the problem to be solved**
 - Problem drives technology ,not vice-versa
- 2. Application of e-noses in the field**
 - Complex odours, reference odours, wind etc
 - “dog” standard
 - reliability of electronics in the field
 - need library of smells that are ecologically informative – can they be linked to the genome that is informing?
 - modelling non-detections etc
 - Do we have enough information about scenting behaviour of target animals?
- 3. Diversify – no silver bullet, need old and new, high tech and low-tech**
- 4. Applicability of high-tech technologies at large scale**
- 5. Long life lures for self- reporting traps**
 - Developed in parallel with detection technology
- 6. Scoping available technologies and their application**
 - Watching brief of possibilities – potential technologies from other fields – eg UAU’s and drones
 - Develop a wildlife camera trap (rather than focus on pests) – automated biodiversity outcome devices?
 - Low density and highly mobile pest detection
 - Review what we’ve currently got

7. **Large scale demonstration studies** (independent testing and validation) -
 - fast track benefits
 - demonstrate uptake and cost benefit
 - integration of tools with current practices
 - focus on tools which reduce labour component
8. **Decision framework** – which approach to use?
 - Cost benefit – being realistic as to whether technology will be affordable
 - Match device to purpose
 - Collaboration and sharing information
 - Education – that arsenal of tools is required
 - Centralise technical expertise and resources into a conservation development group
 - Engage specialists early in process
 - Spatial strategy for use of expensive devices
9. **Automate Image / sound analyses and data management** (open source)
10. **Integrate control with detection**
 - Detect to kill – cost reduction
11. Develop **standard protocols for sampling** - such as use of dogs and other technologies
12. **Funding of development and commercialisation** – beyond proof of concept
 - Callaghan Institute / central agency to drive developments that show promise? CRC model
 - Commercialisation pathways / process – and investment (perhaps from Angel investors)
 - Give the technologists the problem early rather than the solution!
 - Custom-made technology
 - Optimise current tools and continue to support all tools in the market
13. **DNA techniques**
 - build libraries of information
 - Reduce costs
 - gene chips for rats and mice.
14. **Soil / water sampling as a method for broad-scale monitoring?**
15. **Tools to de-limit pest ranges / edges** – particularly in suppression context

Theme 4: Citizen Science and Stakeholder Needs

1. **Perception and value of biodiversity in the community** (cf freshwater values)
 - Drives significant change in politics and resources towards biodiversity
 - Goal 80% of NZ understanding the value of biodiversity
2. **Citizen information and data portals**
 - How to extract information that citizens have / know?
 - How to get better quality data – GPS – and alignment with formal science data
 - Getting information returns – low strike rate! Websites, games, smartphones, prizes
 - Build human behaviour into strategy – bursts of publicity / change
 - Who do we want information from – farmers, conservationists, trampers?
 - Set clear objectives for citizen activities – eg new incursions/ forecasting outbreaks / population monitoring (rather than relative abundance - where modelling might be more helpful)
 - Need for association with something that people can relate to
 - Central data / information repository?

- What is the data needed for in citizen science – appropriate / “horses for courses”
 - Reliability and validation
 - Passive and active - gives different data
 - Education / Generational change – engage the schools with science tools and processes
 - NZ’s equivalent of Feral-Scan or UK’s BirdWatch? – strange sightings, urban bird counts, biosecurity risks
 - Naturewatch public monitoring – can this be refined? Can the public drive refinement?
 - Strategies to get communities involved – schools / rural
 - Under what conditions can citizen science work? Common vs Rare species / incentives?
- 3. Framework for citizen science / involvement**
- Under what circumstances can citizen science contribute
 - Mechanisms to keep people enthused
 - Realistic expectations
 - Alignment of tools / services as to how they can be used – integration of recording systems to strategic priorities
 - Guides and techniques for citizen keys
 - Citizen-based involvement / management – but not research
 - Citizens make wider contributions than just science – take on management
 - Google searches and website hits – information used to help predict upcoming issues? (like flu)
 - Institutional inertia – need a central umbrella project (similar to fishing app?)
 - Resourcing – DoC partnership / Biodiversity Hub / Royal Society – how to get science advice to community groups?
- 4. Linking Maori cultural values into the science**
- better understanding - spiritual mandate / opportunity to influence end result
5. **Community indicators** to look at thresholds for different pests for reporting etc
- 6. Stakeholder Needs**
- Connecting end-users to researchers – keen to know about research relevant to current work and timelines
 - Protocols – optimal set-up for different needs (monitor vs preserve) / cheap vs expensive
 - Long term support for tools and processes
 - Connecting with industries – Federated Farmers, rural-based providers, source industries?
7. **Social science and citizen science - should this be a separate workshop?**
- How are species valued?
 -

Camera traps

1. **Protocols** – for different species, how to use, position, monitor
 - Industry standards (NPCA option) – for camera traps and other products
 - Information source / decision matrix / on-line forum / user group?
 - Reasons for using camera traps / building a case to purchase equipment
 - Could public be used to beta-test new products
2. Make information in the **DoC toolbox** available – on web
 - MPI Biosecurity Toolbox – WIP for pest management group.

- Where to get information from DoC and LCR who are researching cameras
- 3. Not just focus on cameras – don't overlook **other detection techniques** already working
- 4. Privacy and legal issues**
- Use of signs
- Public use of photos?
- 5. Security Issues**
- Better locking, memory, website "top 10"
- 6. How to deal with photos generated?**
- Company / image recognition / issues with independence, baiting of traps
- 7. Long-term cost of different surveillance options**
- Funding sources for cameras
- Generic camera case / reconditioned parts. Bulk purchase

Invasive Animals' CRC: <http://www.invasiveanimals.com/>

Camera trap manual is at <http://www.feral.org.au/camera-trapping-for-wildlife-surveys>

Facebook is Wildlife Camera Trapping – Paul Meek is the moderator

Listserver - <http://uk.groups.yahoo.com/group/cameratraps>

Camera trap registry is to Paul Meek: paul.meek@invasiveanimals.com

Wrap-up

Enthusiasm to repeat the workshop in 5,3,2,1 (?) years' time.

Agreement that the format was successful