



SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS: TRUST, GOALS, & MANAGEMENT

Pike Brown

Senior Economist Capability Leader, Economics and Land Use Modelling

SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS 1

SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS 2

Purpose: Collect data to inform economic models

- Funded by MfE
- 3 regions: Canterbury, Southland, Waikato
- Conducted April 2013

- Funded by MBIE through VMO programme
- 13 regions
- Conducted July 2013

• Vetting:

Independent farm systems scientists; Regional Councils; NZIER; Beef + Lamb NZ, DairyNZ, HortNZ, Rural Support Trust, Hawke's Bay Wine Grower's Association

• Piloting:

Farmers/foresters/growers in Northland, Waikato, Hawke's Bay

ag research





SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS 1

131 questions

Topics:

- respondent demographics;
- farm characteristics;
- succession plans;
- risk tolerance;
- production and profitability;
- information sources;
- operational objectives;
- current and intended management practices;
- *future intentions;*
- perceived behavioural control;
- norms;
- environmental attitudes

SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS 2

192 questions

• 125 +/- questions from SRDM 1

Plus more information on:

- ownership structure;
- livestock sex and age;
- crop types;
- forestry type and practices;
- apiculture;
- land use changes;
- additional information sources;
- additional management practices;
- reasons underlying intentions



SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS: DESIGN

Internet surveys Benefits:

- Less expensive and faster to implement
- Facilitates using smart logic, reducing completion time

27. How many distinct, geographically separate blocks comprise this farm? ______ blocks

If Question 27 = 1 then skip to question 29

28. Approximately how far away is the farthest part of your farming operation from your home, in km? _____ km

29. In what year did you begin working on this farming operation?

Cost:

- Lower response rates than alternatives
- Requires a reliable means of contacting respondents

SRDM: SAMPLE

Used AgriBase

- AssureQuality
- Developed in 1993 to track foot and mouth disease
- Evolved into a commercial database of rural NZ properties
- Relies on *voluntary* reporting
- Records e-mail addresses of those who provide them
 - Median email address from 2008



SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS 1 Incentive

\$10 donation to charity of the respondents' choice:

NEW ZEALAND

RED CROSS

SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS 2 Incentive

Random [\$5, \$10, \$15, \$20] donation to charity of the respondents' choice:



or [no incentive]

+ invitation to view results online

SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS 1 5,811 emails sent

- ? Emails had bad addresses
- 285 (5%) unsubscribed
- 33 (1%) opted out via email
- 250 (4%) did not complete

SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS 2 8,546 emails sent

Evaluated small propertie

- Excluded small properties
- 2,650 (31%) emails had bad addresses
- We don't know how many emails were opened, but we know that:
 - 609 (7%) unsubscribed
 - 70 (1%) opted out via email
 - "I sold my farm over 10 years ago"
 - "I moved to Australia several years back"
 - "I only have a lifestyle block"
 - 275 (3%) did not complete

| Final sample = 536 | Final sample = 1037 | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| minimum response rate = 16% | minimum response rate 21% | | |
| | 1,795 opened the email in the 1st week (61% response rate?) | | |

SRDM: SAMPLE COVERAGE

| | Freq. |
|--------------------|-------|
| Auckland | 48 |
| Bay of Plenty | 84 |
| Canterbury | 278 |
| Gisborne | 38 |
| Hawke's Bay | 131 |
| Marlborough | 89 |
| Manuwatu-Whanganui | 104 |
| Nelson | 5 |
| Northland | 83 |
| Otago | 200 |
| Southland | 134 |
| Tasman | 100 |
| Taranaki | 67 |
| Waikato | 116 |
| Wellington | 56 |
| West Coast | 31 |
| | |
| Total | 1,564 |

1,564 respondents 16 regions

6

SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS 1 Incentive

\$10 donation to charity of the respondents' choice:

NEW ZEALAND

RED CROSS

SURVEY OF RURAL DECISION MAKERS 2 Incentive

Random [\$5, \$10, \$15, \$20] donation to charity of the respondents' choice:



or [no incentive]

+ invitation to view results online

SRDM: SHARE WITH EACH PRIMARY LAND USE

3

| Region | sheep,beef | dairy | deer, other | hort/vit | arable | forestry | dairy support | other |
|------------------------|------------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|----------|------------------|-------|
| Auckland | 41.67 | 14.58 | 6.25 | 10.42 | 0 | 8.33 | 6.25 | 12.5 |
| вор | 17.86 | 33.33 | 1.19 | 38.10 | 1.19 | 5.95 | 1.19 | 1.19 |
| Canterbury | 49.28 | 14.75 | 4.68 | 3.60 | 13.31 | 2.52 | 9.71 | 2.16 |
| Gisborne | 65.79 | 2.63 | 0 | 18.42 | 2.63 | 5.26 | 0 | 5.26 |
| Hawke's Bay | 64.12 | 3.05 | 3.82 | 20.61 | 0.76 | 3.82 | 1.53 | 2.29 |
| Marlborough | 35.96 | 1.12 | 2.25 | 35.96 | 1.12 | 16.85 | 2.25 | 4.49 |
| Manuwatu- Whanganui | 47.12 | 25.00 | 3.85 | 2.88 | 0 | 11.54 | 0.96 | 8.65 |
| Northland | 27.71 | 37.35 | 1.20 | 19.28 | 0 | 9.64 | 1.20 | 3.61 |
| Otago | 58.50 | 10.00 | 4.50 | 6.50 | 0 | 11.00 | 2.00 | 7.50 |
| Southland | 58.96 | 16.42 | 3.73 | 0 | 2.24 | 2.24 | 13.43 | 2.99 |
| Tasman & Nelson | 39.05 | 5.71 | 4.76 | 12.38 | 0 | 23.81 | 2.86 | 11.43 |
| Taranaki | 19.40 | 61.19 | 1.49 | 0 | 0 | 5.97 | 2.99 | 8.96 |
| Waikato | 20.69 | 61.21 | 3.45 | 6.03 | 2.59 | 0.86 | 3.45 | 1.72 |
| Wellington | 50.00 | 17.86 | 0 | 3.57 | 0 | 14.29 | 1.79 | 12.5 |
| West Coast | 22.58 | 58.06 | 3.23 | 0 | 0 | 9.68 | 3.23 | 3.23 |
| Total | 44.37 | 20.91 | 3.45 | 10.68 | 3.01 | 7.93 | 4.48 | 5.18 |

SRDM: SAMPLE REPRESENTATIVENESS

| | | Canterbury | Southland | Waikato |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| AgriBase (2008) | Sheep and/or beef | 57.4% | 64.9% | 38.19% |
| | Dairy & Dairy Support | 16.8% | 23.3% | 50.10% |
| | Deer & Other Livestock | 8.8% | 8.4% | 3.31% |
| | Hort & Viticulture | 4.3% | 0.4% | 3.36% |
| | Arable | 8.9% | 0.8% | 1.97% |
| | Forestry | 3.9% | 2.3% | 2.67% |
| Survey | Sheep and/or beef | 49.3% | 59.0% | 20.7% |
| | Dairy & Dairy Support | 24.5% | 27.9% | 64.7% |
| | Deer & Other Livestock | 4.7% | 3.7% | 3.5% |
| | Hort & Viticulture | 3.6% | 0.0% | 6.0% |
| | Arable | 13.3% | 2.2% | 2.6% |
| | Forestry | 2.5% | 2.2% | 0.9% |

In SRDM 2: 79% of those who shifted into dairy shifted out of sheep and beef



LANDCARERESEARCH.CO.NZ SEARCH FOR "SRDM"

http://www.landcareresearch.co.nz/science/portfolios/ enhancing-policy-effectiveness/srdm



Age of decision maker

>

6



mean=56.5

Age of decision maker

>

6



mean=56.5

Gender of decision maker

6



Total shows the unweighted distribution.

Gender of decision maker

6



Total shows the unweighted distribution.

SRDM: EDUCATION



6

Graphs by primary land use



mean=25

6

Experience of decision maker

6



Total shows the unweighted distribution.

mean=25

SRDM: EFFECTIVE LAND SIZE

| Primary land use | Ν | mean | sd | min | median | max |
|--------------------|-------|-------|---------|-----|--------|--------|
| sheep and/or beef | 694 | 731.3 | 2,107.0 | 2 | 231 | 28,000 |
| dairy | 327 | 291.9 | 351.5 | 32 | 200 | 4,000 |
| deer & other stock | 54 | 146.9 | 395.2 | 2 | 40 | 2,831 |
| hort & vit | 167 | 38.8 | 106.4 | 2 | 10 | 882 |
| arable | 47 | 305.6 | 272.6 | 5 | 260 | 1,600 |
| forestry | 124 | 843.8 | 4,564.0 | 2 | 44.5 | 34,000 |
| dairy support | 70 | 307.4 | 423.2 | 7 | 173.5 | 2,500 |
| other | 81 | 35.6 | 60.6 | 2 | 10 | 267 |
| Total | 1,564 | 486.4 | 1,932.0 | 2 | 125.5 | 34,000 |

SRDM: NUMBER OF ENTERPRISES



6

Generally how profitable is this operation?

6



Total shows the unweighted distribution.

What share of income comes from other sources? sheep and/or beef dairy deer & other stock hort & vit arable forestry dairy support Total other 0% 1%-25% 51%-75% 26%-50% 76%-100%

6

Total shows the unweighted distribution.

new type of question

How important is being highly profitable to you?

6



I am always one of the first to try something new

6



Total shows the unweighted distribution.

I prefer to leave experimenting with new ideas to others

6



Total shows the unweighted distribution.

Are you generally prepared to take risks?

3



PROFITABILITY IS IMPORTANT TO PEOPLE. MOST PEOPLE ARE RELUCTANT TO TAKE RISKS. HOW DO THEY MANAGE THEIR LAND?

- * Reducing stocking rates
- * Reducing N-based fertiliser
- * Wintering off stock
- * Applying DCDs
- * Having a nutrient management plan
- * Adding or upgrading a water irrigation system
- * Constructing a feed pad
- * Upgrading the effluent system
- * Fencing streams
- * Constructing wetlands and/or sedimentation traps
- * Planting native bush or trees
- * Planting riparian buffers
- * Changing primary crops or changing crop rotation
- * Practices to reduce pugging
- * Practices to reduce soil erosion
- * Improving N efficiency through precision placement or timing
- * Reducing P-based fertiliser
- * Improving P efficiency through precision
- * Avoiding waterways when applying fertiliser
- * Adopting biological fertilisers
- * Reducing tillage and/or controlling trafficking
- * Use frost fans, freeze cloth, and other frost protection

Reducing N-based fertiliser

Have you already adopted this management practice?

6



Having a nutrient management plan

Have you already adopted this management practice?

6



Having a nutrient management plan

6

Have you already adopted this management practice?



Fencing streams

6

Have you already adopted this management practice?





RISK TOLERANCE DOES NOT EXPLAIN ADOPTION.





DEMONSTRATION MATTERS.

SO, HOW LARGE ARE FARMER NETWORKS?

With how many other farmers did you discuss operational practices, systems change, or practices in the last 12 months?



PEOPLE LIKE TO SEE DEMONSTRATION BEFORE ADOPTION,

BUT FARMER NETWORKS ARE SMALL. WHO ELSE IS TRUSTED?

| | Bay of Plenty | Marlborough | Wellington | Overall |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Newspaper | 4.74 (14) | 5.09 (14) | 5.02 (15) | 5.05 (14) |
| Television | 4.06 (18) | 4.01 (18) | 4.14 (18) | 4.14 (18) |
| National radio | 4.82 (13) | 5.75 (11) | 5.48 (12) | 5.25 (13) |
| Internet | 5.35 (12) | 5.54 (12) | 5.52 (11) | 5.36 (12) |
| Fed Farmers etc. | 6.57 (5) | 6.36(6) | 6.21(7) | 6.30 (6) |
| Industry | 6.65 (3) | 6.55 (4) | 6.14 (9) | 6.34 (5) |
| Cooperatives | 6.65 (3) 🖌 | 5.29 (13) 🖌 | 5.36(13) | 5.73 (11) |
| Central gov't | 4.70 (16) | 4.63 (17) | 4.75 (16) | 4.60 (15) |
| Regional councils | 4.71 (15) | 4.76 (16) | 5.14 (14) | 4.54 (16) |
| District councils | 4.43 (17) | 4.87 (15) | 4.23 (17) | 4.48 (17) |
| Accountants | 6.21 (9) | 5.98 (9) | 5.79 (10) | 6.34 (4) |
| Farm consultants | 6.30 (8) | 6.18 (8) | 6.30(6) | 6.21 (9) |
| Farmers forums | 6.48 (6) | 6.34 (7) | 6.43 (4) | 6.29 (7) |
| Other farmers | 6.79 (2) | 6.64 (3) | 6.84 (2) | 6.71 (2) |
| Scientists | 6.44 (7) | 6.75 (2) | 6.61(3) | 6.37 (3) |
| Vets | 7.12(1) | 7.04 (1) | 6.95 (1) | 7.13 (1) |
| Rural retailers | 5.77 (10) | 5.80 (10) | 6.18(8) | 5.89 (10) |
| Universities | 5.70 (11) 🕊 | 6.39 (5) 🕊 | 6.38(5) | 6.22 (8) |

PEOPLE LIKE TO SEE DEMONSTRATION BEFORE ADOPTION, BUT FARMER NETWORKS ARE SMALL. WHO ELSE IS TRUSTED?

How trustworthy do you consider the following sources of information for making decisions related to environmental performance?

6



Survey of Rural Decision Makers: **Challenges & Future Opportunities** Cross-sectional survey: How do we know if policy is effective? Sampling: Can we do better? Survey fatigue: Can we work together to elicit better information?

brownp@landcareresearch.co.nz

