



What's the Use? How can we get more from land-use and economic models?

Suzi Kerr, Motu Adam Daigneault, Landcare Research



Introduction

- Agricultural production, economic and social wellbeing, water quality and quantity, erosion, biodiversity and climate mitigation and impacts are all heavily influenced by land use on a catchment scale and beyond.
- The evolution of these issues over time is heavily influenced by private land-use decisions.
- Regional and national decision-makers try to forecast and influence these decisions and want to explore the likely effects of potential policies.

The key challenges for using models to inform decisions are

- Defining questions to be explored
- Choosing a model (or combination of models)
- Interpreting model results

Outline

Land use Modelling

What is a model? Why are models used to understand land-use change? How are models developed? How are models used?

Existing land use models in New Zealand

Applications: LURNZ and NManager Applications: NZ Farm and ARLUNZ

Challenges for land-use modelling

Data; parameterisation and calibration; model development and validation;

Suggestions for model end-users to consider

What is a model?

- Simplified representation of reality
- Capture key agents, elements, and relationships relevant to exploring a question
- 'Everyone is a modeller' But not explicitly

Two types of model:

Theoretical – interrelationships - cause: effect

Numerical – quantify – summarise and embody existing science

Why are models used to understand land-use change?

- Complexity: humans and their interactions
 - Geographic variability
 - Multiple interacting processes
- Makes assumptions explicit so results can be challenged scientifically and tested for robustness
 - Separate analysis from ideology/opinion
- Explore things that haven't happened yet in an artificial world



What sort of questions can models address?

- 1. Projection: What is likely to happen if we do nothing?
- 2. Policy impact: What is likely to happen if we do policy X?
- 3. Policy Design: What is cost and effectiveness of one policy relative to another?
- 4. Distribution: Who will bear costs under different policies and design?
- 5. How do systems work? fundamental science

How are models developed?

- Define purpose(s)
- Identify key factors and relationships
- Choose scope and resolution
- Collect data
- Parameterise / calibrate relationships using existing and new empirical evidence
- Code and document
- Test and validate
- Apply

How are models best used?

- Active interaction between modellers and users
- Choose the model best suited to the question
- Transparent process with peer review from other experts
- Publicly available documentation
- Careful interpretation of results and caveats
- Use to complement other knowledge
- Iterate to improve models

Good modeling and use of models takes time



Classification of NZ Land-Use Models*



* Not necessarily exhaustive

International: NZIAMS



1. LURNZ: Land Use Change and ETS Projection and policy impact

- Econometric model estimated from historic land use
- Inputs: commodity prices, policy design, climate scenarios...
- Simulate No ETS baseline from 2008
- Kerr, Suzi, and Alex Olssen. 2012. "Gradual Land-use Change in New Zealand: Results from a Dynamic Econometric Model", *Motu Working Paper* 12-06, Motu Economic and Public Policy Research



Spatial changes in Land Use

- Spatial algorithm assigns land use changes to map
- Change depends on suitability of land:

quality, slope, ownership, and distance to ports and towns

• Map gives new land use

Tímár, Levente. 2011. "Rural Land Use and Land Tenure in New Zealand," Motu Working Paper 11-13, Motu Economic and Public Policy Research, Wellington.



Implications for GHG emissions

- Forestry age determines sequestration
- Production intensity determines emissions







Forecast of GHG reductions under ETS



Land-use related impact of ETS driven by effect on forestry

2. NManager: ground water lags Policy Design



N-Manager simulations

NPV of the Cost of Mitigation (\$ millions)

Reduction in leaching	30%	50%	70%
Surface water scheme	26.5	58.7	100.4
2 pulse scheme	25.9	57.4	99.3
Cost reduction	2.5%	2.4%	1.9%





- Farmers with high nutrient loss prefer grandparenting
- Farmers with low nutrient loss prefer sector-based averaging

(Does not depend on modelling assumptions)

Farm heterogeneity



In this application, we estimate that farmers with higher baseline loss will tend to bear higher mitigation costs





More equal under grandparenting (in this one instance) More equitable?

Grandparenting —Sector-based

Total cost (\$/ha)

-200

New Zealand Forest And Agriculture Regional Model (NZFARM)

- A catchment-level economic model of NZ land use
 - Objective is to maximize income from land-based activities
 - Spatial scale at sub-catchment level
 - Models changes in land use and land management
 - Key outputs include changes in income, land use, GHG emissions, and nutrient losses
- Designed to consistently compare the economic & environmental impacts of a range of policy scenarios
- Detailed parameterisation for 5 catchments



NZFARM Example #1 – GHG and/or Nutrient Policy



Impacts

Impacts of various:

- 1. GHG prices on ag emissions (\$0-30/tCO2e)
- Caps on N leaching (0-30% below baseline
- 3. Combination of #1 and #2



NZFARM Example #2 Distributional effects Ã Å N Leach (kg/ha) Net Rev (\$/ha) Undefined Undefined 01-20 1 - 1250 1251 - 2500 20.1 - 40 2501 - 5000 40.1 - 60 5001 - 5000 60.1 - 80 > 5000 12,800 19,200 3,200 6,400 25,600 >80 feters 25.600 Meters 3 200 6 400 12 800 19,200

Change in N Leaching From Baseline



Change in Net Revenue From Baseline Nutrient Vulnerability Allocation + Trading **Average Allocation** % Change from Baseline < -60% -60% to -40.1% -40% to -20.1% -20% to -5.1% 0% to - 5.0%

> 0%

Agent-Based Land Use New Zealand (ARLUNZ)

- In addition to profit maximisation, other objectives/aspects of decision-making taken into account:
 - Farm size, location, attitudes, perceived control, social expectations all play a role
 - Risk tolerance
 - Attitudes towards the environment
 - Stage of life
 - Networks
- Agents are defined using national survey of 1000+ landowners conducted in 2013





ARLUNZ Example: Land Use Change: 2010-2060

GHG price = $\frac{50}{tCO_2}e$ GHG price = $\frac{50}{tCO_2}e$





GHG Price Policy Impacts – GHGs



GHG Price Policy Impacts - GDP



Challenges

- Data
 - Current and historical land use maps
 - Farm-level management, costs and production
 - Environmental
- Calibration and Validation
 - Many policies not yet implemented
 - Will future land use follow past performance?
 - Regardless of policy under consideration?



Challenges

- Model Complexity
 - Heterogeneous landowners and landscapes
 - Management options to include
 - Policy instruments
 - Spatial and temporal resolution to consider
- Model Estimates
 - Context specific
 - Not always generalizable
 - Open to (mis)interpretation

How Users Can Help Improve Models

- Enable model comparisons fund the same question on two + models
- Push for clear publicly available documentation of model and data
- Support ongoing development and refinement of models
- Encourage modelers to work together and review each others' models
- Encourage intellectual criticism but not financial competition among modelers

Questions for End Users to Consider About Modelling

- 1. How is the issue related to land use?
- 2. How much data do I have/need?
- 3. What is my timeframe?
- 4. What is the minimum detail I need to get useful input into decision making?
- 5. What are the options under consideration?
- 6. What are the possible policy instruments?

Rules of Thumb for End Users

- When you first think about using land use modelling, talk to a couple of land use modellers
- Find the strongest model that can address the questions you have
- Be realistic about what you demand from models and how you use results
- Run open iterative process to discuss modelling as it evolves
- Plan ahead good modelling takes time



Model Resources*

Agent Based models

ARLUNZ: http://purl.umn.edu/124973

MAS/Rural Futures: Schilling, C; W. Kaye-Blake; E. Post and S. Rains. 2012.

Catchment models

NZFARM: http://www.landcareresearch.co.nz/science/soils-and-landscapes/ecosystem-services/nzfarm

ARLUNZ: http://purl.umn.edu/124973

N-Manager: http://www.motu.org.nz/files/docs/resources/ NManager_overview_final.pdf

Waikato Multiple Agent Model: Doole (2012) Doole et al (2011)

LUMASS: http://www.academia.edu/1787302/Optimising_land_use_for_multiple_ ecosystem_services_objectives_a_case_study_in_the_Waitaki_catchment_New_Zeal and

Model Resources*

Regional/National models

ARDEEM: http://tools.envirolink.govt.nz/dsss/auckland-regional-dynamic-ecological-economic-model/

Reginal Irrigation model: Saunders C, Saunders J. 2012. The Economic Value of Potential Irrigation in Canterbury. Research report prepared for CDC: AERU, Lincoln University, September.

WISE: http://tools.envirolink.govt.nz/dsss/waikato-integrated-scenario-explorer/

LURNZ: http://www.motu.org.nz/research/group/land_use_in_rural_new_zealand _model

Global economic models

NZIAMS/CliMAT-DGE: Lennox et al (2013); Lennox et al (2012)

*Not necessarily exhaustive

Questions?

Suzi Kerr, Senior Fellow Motu Economic and Public Policy Research, Wellington 04 939 4250 <u>Suzi.kerr@motu.org.nz</u>

> Adam Daigneault, Senior Economist Landcare Research, Auckland 09 574 4138 daigneaulta@landcareresearch.co.nz

